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HHVM Background

- 2009: HPHPc deployed (PHP $\rightarrow$ C++ $\rightarrow$ x64)
- 2013: HHVM deployed
  - v1: PHP $\rightarrow$ HHBC $\rightarrow$ x64
  - v2: PHP $\rightarrow$ HHBC $\rightarrow$ HHIR $\rightarrow$ native (x64/aarch64)
  - v3: PHP $\rightarrow$ HHBC $\rightarrow$ HHIR $\rightarrow$ ??? $\rightarrow$ native
- Age-old question: Why not use LLVM?
HHVM fundamentals

- “Tracelet”-at-a-time JIT
- Observed types are burned in as preconditions
- Different input types $\rightarrow$ different tracelets
- Tracelet exits call JIT, smashed to call generated code
- Transition between JIT-generated code and interpreter at any HHBC instruction boundary
Why not use LLVM?

- Then:
  - Big bet on very fast JIT codegen
  - Unladen Swallow was having a rough time
  - Added project uncertainty
  - LLVM didn’t solve the hard problems for us

- Now:
  - Too slow at codegen?
  - Integration headaches?
Current HHVM/LLVM challenges

- Calling convention modularity
- HHVM currently reserves CPU registers (VmFp, VmSp)
- Code smashing requires special alignment
- LLVM (MCJIT) does too much
  - GDB integration hook conflict
  - RTDyldMemoryManager lobotomy
- Disable eh_frame generation (already have master eh_frame)
- Don’t need run-time linking/loading
For more information

- http://hhvm.com
- https://github.com/facebook/hhvm