

# Pass Plugins

Past // Present // Future

Stefan Gränitz EuroLLVM Berlin 15 April 2025





# Agenda

---

1. Past: Legacy Pass Plugins
2. Present: Modern Pass Plugins
3. Future: From Passes to Extensions?
  - Motivation
  - Proposals

# Round Tables

---



**Tuesday 5 PM, right after the talk**


Another one on Wednesday

# Plugin term is convoluted

---

`ld.lld -plugin gold.so`  LTO plugin

`clang -fplugin=clad.so`  Clang frontend plugin

`clang -fpass-plugin=omv11.so`  Pass-Plugin





# Past

---

*“ Don’t dwell in the past  
Don’t dream of the future  
Concentrate the mind on  
the present moment. Focus!*

404 @ Phabricator 😊



# Past: Legacy Pass Plugins

---

Option `-load` dates back to the early 2000s<sup>[1](#)[2](#)</sup>

```
struct PluginLoader {  
    void operator=(const std::string &Filename);  
    static unsigned getNumPlugins();  
    static std::string& getPlugin(unsigned num);  
};  
  
static cl::opt<PluginLoader, false, cl::parser<std::string>>  
    LoadOpt("load", cl::value_desc("pluginfilename"),  
            cl::desc("Load the specified plugin"));
```



# Past: Legacy Pass Plugins

---

Plugins like Polly used static init to register new passes [1](#) [2](#)

```
class StaticInitializer {  
public:  
    StaticInitializer() {  
        llvm::PassRegistry &Registry = *PassRegistry::getPassRegistry();  
        polly::initializePollyPasses(Registry);  
    }  
};  
  
static StaticInitializer InitializeEverything;
```





# Present

---

## Modern Pass Plugins



# Plugin Renaissance with the New Pass Manager

---

## Major contributions

2017 **Philip Pfaffe** adds a pass registration mechanism for Polly<sup>1</sup>

2018 He refines it into a plugin API so that "*interaction with a plugin is always initiated from the tools perspective*"<sup>2</sup>

2020 **Serge Guelton** generalizes it, removes remaining Polly-specific code from LLVM and adds an Bye 🖐️ example<sup>3</sup>



# Modern Pass Plugins

---

On the plugin side, we implement a defined interface

```
extern "C" struct PassPluginLibraryInfo {  
    uint32_t APIVersion;  
    const char *PluginName;  
    const char *PluginVersion;  
    void (*RegisterPassBuilderCallbacks)(PassBuilder &);  
};
```



# Modern Pass Plugins

---

```
extern "C" PassPluginLibraryInfo llvmGetPassPluginInfo() {
    return {LLVM_PLUGIN_API_VERSION, "Bye", LLVM_VERSION_STRING,
        [] (PassBuilder &PB) {
            PB.registerVectorizerStartEPCallback(
                [] (FunctionPassManager &PM, OptimizationLevel Level) {
                    PM.addPass(Bye());
                });
            PB.registerPipelineParsingCallback(
                [] (StringRef Name, FunctionPassManager &PM, ...) {
                    if (Name == "goodbye") {
                        PM.addPass(Bye());
                        return true;
                    }
                    return false;
                });
        });
};
```



# Modern Pass Plugins

---

On the tools side, we load them explicitly

```
static cl::list<std::string>
    PassPlugins("load-pass-plugin",
               cl::desc("Load passes from plugin library"));

for (auto &PluginFN : PassPlugins) {
    auto PassPlugin = PassPlugin::Load(PluginFN);
    if (!PassPlugin)
        continue;
    PassPlugin->registerPassBuilderCallbacks(PB);
}
```



# Modern Pass Plugins: Pros

---

- ▶ Use `PassBuilder` the same way as in-tree tools
- ▶ Same concept in MLIR: entry-point `mlirGetPassPluginInfo()`
- ▶ Keep existing benefits:
  - C interface for plugin registration
  - Fast and easy builds against LLVM release versions



# Modern Pass Plugins: Cons

---

Pass base class and PassBuilder definitions are C++

```
struct Bye : PassInfoMixin<Bye> {  
    PreservedAnalyses run(Function &F, FunctionAnalysisManager &) {  
        if (!runBye(F))  
            return PreservedAnalyses::all();  
        return PreservedAnalyses::none();  
    }  
};
```

Building Plugins correctly isn't trivial

Plugin binaries must fit target compiler's C++ ABI



# Modern Pass Plugins: Tools

---

- `opt -load=/path/to/Bye.so -passes=goodbye`  
`opt -load-pass-plugin=/path/to/Bye.so`  
[docs/CommandGuide/opt.html#cmdoption-opt-load](https://docs/CommandGuide/opt.html#cmdoption-opt-load)
- `clang -fpass-plugin=/path/to/Bye.so`  
[docs/ClangCommandLineReference.html#cmdoption-clang-fpass-plugin](https://docs/ClangCommandLineReference.html#cmdoption-clang-fpass-plugin)
- `flang -fpass-plugin=/path/to/Bye.so`  
[docs/FlangCommandLineReference.html#cmdoption-flang-fpass-plugin](https://docs/FlangCommandLineReference.html#cmdoption-flang-fpass-plugin)
- `clang-repl -fpass-plugin=/path/to/Bye.so`  
[wliveindetail.github.io/blog/post/2024/08/29/omvll-clang-repl.html](https://wliveindetail.github.io/blog/post/2024/08/29/omvll-clang-repl.html)



# Modern Pass Plugins: Tools

---

- `swiftc -load-pass-plugin=/path/to/bye.so`  
mainline: [swiftlang/swift/pull/68985](https://github.com/swiftlang/swift/pull/68985)
- `rustc -Zllvm-plugins=/path/to/bye.so`  
unstable: `llvm.plugins = true` option [rust-lang.zulipchat.com](https://rust-lang.zulipchat.com)
- `ld.lld --load-pass-plugin=/path/to/Bye.so` (since 15.x<sup>1</sup>)  
undocumented 🙄



# Modern Pass Plugins: in the wild

---

Open-source projects:

- ▶ <https://github.com/llvm/llvm-project/tree/release/20.x/polly>
- ▶ <https://github.com/EnzymeAD/Enzyme>
- ▶ <https://github.com/open-obfuscator/o-mvll>

Yes, it's a niche for sure. But it might also be a chicken-egg-problem..





# Future

---

From Passes to  
Rich out-of-tree Extensions?



# Motivation

---

Claim: There is a demand for domain-specific compiler extensions.

Evidence? Looking at sanitizers:

```
2017 asan,dfsan,msan,tsan,safestack,cfi,esan,scudo
2018 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,esan,scudo,ubsan
2019 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,esan,scudo,ubsan
2020 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan
2021 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan
2022 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan
2023 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan
2024 asan,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan
2025 asan,rt^^^san,dfsan,msan,hwasan,tsan,t^^^san,safestack,cfi,scudo,ubsan,gwp_asan,n^^^san
```

# Sanitizers: Who are the newcomers?

---

We can now check for:

- TypeSanitizer: type-based aliasing violations
- NumericalStabilitySanitizer: floating point precision issues
- RealtimeSanitizer: blocking calls in code with deterministic runtime

**Observations:** More domain-specific + less C/C++ specific



# Future: Towards Rich Out-of-tree Extensions?

---

Should we build everything upstream forever?

Alternative: Could we implement extensions like Sanitizers as plugins?

- ☐ Frontend: Attributes control where/how they apply (or not)
- ☒ IR Pass: Inject instrumentation, mostly calls into a runtime library
- ☐ Driver: Add runtime library to the link line

# Frontend with built-in Sanitizer (Realtime Sanitizer)

---

Clang: new `[[clang::(non)blocking]]` attributes translate to built-in `llvm::Attribute::SanitizeRealtime(Blocking)`  
[llvm-project/commit/f03cb005eb4b](https://llvm-project/commit/f03cb005eb4b)

Swift: `RTSanStandaloneSwift` package wraps C API in expression macros  
[swiftpackageindex.com/realtime-sanitizer/RTSanStandaloneSwift](https://swiftpackageindex.com/realtime-sanitizer/RTSanStandaloneSwift)

Rust: `rtsan-standalone` crate wraps C API in procedural macros  
[crates.io/crates/rtsan-standalone](https://crates.io/crates/rtsan-standalone)

also preparing RFC for rustc built-in support  
[github.com/rust-lang/rfcs/pull/3766](https://github.com/rust-lang/rfcs/pull/3766)



# Frontend without built-in Sanitizer

---

## Clang:

- Frontend-Plugin could define attributes `[[clang::(non)blocking]]`
- Emit annotations instead of `llvm::Attribute::SanitizeRealtime?`
- Combine with Pass-Plugin in a single shared lib! [github.com/vgvassilev/clad](https://github.com/vgvassilev/clad)

## Modern languages:

- Could language features emit annotations directly?

 **Round Table:** Can we use annotations?

Or could we teach Pass-Plugins to define LLVM attributes?

# How to add a runtime library to the link line?

---

Named metadata entries for auto-linking might help:

- `llvm.linker.options`

[docs/clangref.html#automatic-linker-flags-named-metadata](https://docs/clangref.html#automatic-linker-flags-named-metadata)

- `llvm.dependent-libraries`

[docs/clangref.html#dependent-libs-named-metadata](https://docs/clangref.html#dependent-libs-named-metadata)

 **Round Table:** Can we make it consistent or find a better way?



# Future: Rich out-of-tree Extensions?

---

Doesn't seem impossible!

- [x] Frontend: Attributes control where/how they apply (or not)
- [x] IR Pass: Inject instrumentation, mostly calls into a runtime library
- [x] Driver: Add runtime library to the link line

# Realtime Sanitizer: What is the story?

---

- ▶ Start a **hack in a fork** and reach a PoC
- ▶ Promote in domain-specific communities and find interested contributors  
[adc23.sched.com/event/1PudD/radsan-a-realtime-safety-sanitizer](https://adc23.sched.com/event/1PudD/radsan-a-realtime-safety-sanitizer)
- ▶ Write RFC [discourse.llvm.org/t/rfc-nolock-and-noalloc-attributes/76837/](https://discourse.llvm.org/t/rfc-nolock-and-noalloc-attributes/76837/)
- ▶ RFC considered to mature downstream or implement outside of LLVM<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ [#92460](#) merged upstream in May 2024 and **150+ PRs** since



# Realtime Sanitizer: Developer perspective

---

Pro upstream:

- **re-use infrastructure from other sanitizers**
- reviewers give guidance, help find issues and propose improvements
- boost reachable audience and get maximum convenience for users
- no immediate commercial interests (apparently)

Downside:

- requirements on code-quality and cross-platform support
- extra complexity from considering interference with other sanitizers

# Future: Proposals

---

If we want to promote out-of-tree extensions, we could:

1. Provide re-usable infrastructure
2. Make it a playground to test new ideas
3. Motivate vendors to support plugins!



# 1. Re-usable infrastructure for out-of-tree extensions

---

Today:

- Plugin interface: unit-tests + Bye example with LIT tests
- Most bots don't build examples
- Most vendors don't ship examples
- Bye is quite primitive

Make it a **Reference Plugin**, that is built and deployed by default?

# 1. Re-usable infrastructure for out-of-tree extensions

---

Reference Plugin:

- (1) Complexity of real-world extension
- (2) Should work for LLVM and MLIR
- (3) Do something useful for experimentation
- (4) Consider a pure C interface?



## 2. Playground to test new ideas and not fork LLVM

---

### **Load a Python script and run it in as a pass?**

- (1) Python is popular + real-world complexity (e.g. static libPython?)
- (2) Bindings for LLVM and MLIR
- (3) Write IR transforms without building the plugin!

Two open-source repos with proof-of-concept:

- C++ with Numba's llvmlite: [github.com/weliveindetail/llvm-py-pass](https://github.com/weliveindetail/llvm-py-pass)
- Rust with llvmpy from rev.ng: [github.com/aneeshdurg/pyllvmpass](https://github.com/aneeshdurg/pyllvmpass)

# 3. Motivate vendors to support and ship plugins!

---

Concerns:

- Security and tampering with internals (probably Apple)
  - Would code-signing checks help?
- Compatibility, versioning and dependence (probably Rust)
  - Would a pure C API version help?

 **Round Table:** Let's keep dreaming of a bright future for a bit!





# Pass Plugins

---

## Round Tables

Tuesday 5 PM, right after the talk

Another one on Wednesday

## Contact

[stefan.graenitz@gmail.com](mailto:stefan.graenitz@gmail.com)

[welveindetail.github.io/blog/about/](https://welveindetail.github.io/blog/about/)